(3)

C.P-107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 524 /2002

PETITIONER : Usha Dadsena,
Aged about 38 years
Wd/o Omprakash Dadsena,
R/o Behind Kisan Rice Mills,
Pithora, Mahasamund.

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Though Secretary,
Department of Education,
Mantralay, Shastri Chowk,
Raipur.

P. R. No. 523/02
Presented by Shri Ashok Jaiswal
dated 06.03.02

2. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Public Works Department,
Mantralya, Shastri Chowk,
Raipur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

66

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 524 OF 2002

Petitioner : Usha Dadsena

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & another

Present : Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(27th June, 2006)

1. The husband of the petitioner namely Shri Om Prakash Dadsena died while working as Time Keeper in the office of Collector, Mahasamund in harness on 9.4.1999.

2. Thereafter, the petitioner has made an application for compassionate appointment on 12.10.2000.

3. The appointment was declined on the ground that the deceased husband of the petitioner died before the creation of State of Chhattisgarh on 1.11.2000.

4. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2.2.2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the deceased/employees, who died in harness between 1.11.1997 to 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

(67)

5. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.3879/2004 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

6. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

C Dubri